



## Explanatory note - "WHITE", "GREY" AND "BLACK LIST"

The normative listing of Flags provides an independent categorization that has been prepared on the basis of Paris MoU port State inspection results over a 3-year period, based on binomial calculus.

The performance of each Flag is calculated using a standard formula for statistical calculations in which certain values have been fixed in accordance with agreed Paris MoU policy. Two limits have been included in the system, the 'black to grey' and the 'grey to white' limit, each with its own specific formula:

$$\begin{split} u_{black\_to\_grey} &= N \cdot p + 0.5 + z \sqrt{(N \cdot p \cdot (1 - p))} \\ u_{white\_to\_grey} &= N \cdot p - 0.5 - z \sqrt{(N \cdot p \cdot (1 - p))} \end{split}$$

In the formula "N" is the number of inspections, "p" is the allowable detention limit (yardstick), set to 7% by the Paris MoU Port State Control Committee, and "z" is the significance requested (z=1.645 for a statistically acceptable certainty level of 95%). The result "u" is the allowed number of detentions for either the Black or White List. The "u" results can be found in the table. A number of detentions above this 'black to grey' limit means significantly worse than average, where a number of detentions below the 'grey to white' limit means significantly better than average. When the amount of detentions for a particular Flag is positioned between the two, the Flag will find itself on the Grey List. The formula is applicable for sample sizes of 30 or more inspections over a 3-year period.

To sort results on the Black or White List, simply alter the target and repeat the calculation. Flags which are still significantly above this second target, are worse than the flags which are not. This process can be repeated to create as many refinements as desired. (Of course the maximum detention rate remains 100%!) To make the flags' performance comparable, the excess factor (EF) is introduced. Each incremental or decremental step corresponds with one whole EF-point of difference. Thus the EF is an indication for the number of times the yardstick has to be altered and recalculated. Once the excess factor is determined for all flags, the flags can be ordered by EF. The excess factor can be found in the last column of the White, Grey or Black List. The target (yardstick) has been set on 7% and the size of the increment and decrement on 3%.

The White/Grey/Black Lists have been calculated in accordance with the principles above\*.

The graphical representation of the system below is showing the direct relations between the number of inspected ships and the number of detentions. Both axes have a logarithmic character as the 'black to grey' or the 'grey to white' limit.



\* Explanatory notes can be found on www.parismou.org/publications

# Secretariat Paris Memorandum OF UNDERSTANDING ON PORT STATE CONTROL



### STAFF

Mr. Luc Smulders Secretary-General

Mr. Geert de Jeu Secretary

Mr. Ivo Snijders Secretary

Mr. Maarten Vlag Secretary Mr. Ronald Hulhoven Secretary

**Mr. Lourens van 't Wout** ICT Advisor

Mrs. Melany Cadogan - Eskici Office Manager

Mrs. Ingrid de Vree Management Assistant

#### **COLOPHON**

#### **PHOTOGRAPHS**

Paris MoU Authorities Secretariat Frans de Vree Fotografie

#### ADDRESS SECRETARIAT

Rijnstraat 8 P.O. Box 16191 2500 BD The Hague The Netherlands **Telephone:** +31 70 456 1508 www.parismou.org **E-mail:** secretariat@parismou.org

